



Master Facilities Report

May 28, 2015

Master Facilities Committee

- School Board Members
- Cabinet Level Administrators
- School Administrators
- Teachers
- Athletic Directors
- Professional Partners

Goal

- Identify district facility needs
- Develop potential solutions for long-range planning

2007 Study

- Facility evaluations
- Used for short-term and long-term planning

Study Determined

- Space is being used inefficiently
- ADA requirements are not being met
- Safety and Security
- Buildings are aging (approximately 50 years old)

Construction History

School	Original Construction Date	Last Major Renovation	Interval Between Renovations	Interval Since Last Renovation	Capacity since last renovation*	Current Population
Allisonville	1960	1987	27	28	650	783
Crooked Creek	1964	1984	20	31	550	696
Fox Hill	1991	N/A	N/A	24	650	808
Greenbriar	1968	1995	27	20	550	806
Harcourt	1961	1986	35	29	500	N/A
John Strange	1977	N/A	N/A	38	500	637
Nora	1966	1987	21	28	650	708
Spring Mill	1959	1984	25	31	625	707
Wyandotte	1968	2002	34	13	250	N/A
Eastwood	1956	1986	30	29	1084	834
Northview	1956	1993	37	22	1636	818
Westlane	1956	1986	30	29	1148	908
North Central	1963	1996	33	19	3783	3426
J. Everett Light	1971	1996	25	19	924	865

Short Term Improvements

- HVAC replacements
- Roof replacements
- Secured entries
- Natatorium/South locker room addition

Long-Range Facility Options were Developed in 2012

- Options were developed on elementary, middle, and co-curricular levels

Master Facilities Committee 2014-15

- Reviewed which options proposed in 2012 were still viable
- Reviewed current enrollment, referendum and CPF data
- Discussed priorities on all levels
- Identified current facility needs

Small Focus Groups

- 21st century IB educational environments
 - Curricular and support spaces and Technology
- Maintenance, efficiency and code compliance
 - Safety and Security, ADA, roofs, parking etc.

On-going Discussions with Principals, Cabinet Members, School Board Members and Professional Partners

Highlights of Concerns

- Increasing need for portables at the elementary level
- Maintenance and facility improvements are reactive rather than preventative
- Increasing need for complete renovations at all buildings
- Safety and security enhancements needed
- Decline in meeting instructional needs
- Enrollment projections
- Aligning community and district desires

Questions to be Addressed

- Enrollment projections
- Footprints and expansions
- Renovation and new construction costs
- Size and supports
- Kindergarten and pre-kindergarten
- Grade configurations
- Technology infrastructure
- Harcourt and Wyandotte
- District-owned property

Engagement with:

- Community outreach advisor
- Professional demographer
- Financial advisor

Facility Evaluation

- Reassessment of facilities
- Update 2007 facility evaluations

Facility Tours Outside District

Future Challenges

- Increased number of students per classroom
- Increased number of portables
- Less effective instructional space
- Challenge with facilities not aligning with IB framework
- Fewer curricular and co-curricular programs
- Loss of student population (school choice)
- Unable to maintain economic, racial, and program diversity
- Teacher retention concerns
- Lower property values
- Sale of properties
- Limited use of community space

Summary

- Master Facilities Committee Efforts
- Budget Conscience
- Long-Range Planning
- Funding Options